

E-FILED 01-05-2012

NOT FOR CITATION
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

THINK COMPUTER CORPORATION,

No. C11-05496 HRL

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBERT VENCHIARUTTI, in his official capacity as Deputy Commissioner of the California Department of Financial Institutions; WILLIAM HARAFA, in his official capacity as Commissioner of the California Department of Financial Institutions; TRACI STEVENS, in her official capacity as Acting Secretary of the California Business, Transportation and Housing Agency; JACOB A. APPELSMITH, in his official capacity as Senior Advisor to the Governor of the State of California; EDMUND G. BROWN, in his official capacity as Governor of the State of California; and KAMALA HARRIS, in her official capacity as Attorney General of the State of California,

Defendants.

ORDER (1) DENYING AS MOOT MOTION FOR ELECTRONIC CASE FILING; (2) DENYING AS MOOT MOTION FOR EXEMPTION FROM LOCAL RULE 3-9(b); AND (3) GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO CONTINUE INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

[Re: Docket Nos. 2, 5, 13]

Counsel having filed an appearance for plaintiff Think Computer Corporation, Aaron Greenspan's motions for permission to e-file and for exemption from Civil Local Rule 3-9 are denied as moot.

Defendants' motion to continue the initial case management conference set for January 10, 2012 (and associated deadlines) is granted as follows:

January 11, 2012: Last day to meet and confer re initial disclosures, early settlement, ADR process selection, and discovery plan; file ADR

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Certification signed by parties and counsel; and file either a Stipulation to ADR Process or Notice of need for ADR Phone Conference


January 24, 2012: Last day to file Rule 26(f) Report, complete initial disclosures or state objection in Rule 26(f) Report, and file Case Management Statement

January 31, 2012,
1:30 p.m.: Initial Case Management Conference

Additionally, **no later than January 24, 2012, each party must file either** (1) a Consent to Proceed Before a United States Magistrate Judge or (2) a Declination to Proceed Before a Magistrate Judge and Request for Reassignment to a United States District Judge. Civ. L.R. 73-1. The forms are available from the Clerk of the Court and on the court's website at <http://cand.uscourts.gov>. Each party is free to decline to proceed before a magistrate judge and to request reassignment to a district judge without adverse substantive consequences. FED. R. Civ. P. 73(b)(2).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 5, 2012



HOWARD R. LLOYD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1 5:11-cv-05496-HRL Notice has been electronically mailed to:

2 Michael Brooks Carroll carroll_law@sbcglobal.net

3 Peter Keller Southworth Peter.southworth@doj.ca.gov, Julie.gomez@doj.ca.gov

4 Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel who have not
5 registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program.